

SEX OFFENDER MANAGEMENT BOARD (SOMB) MINUTES

Friday, February 19, 2021

**THIS MEETING WAS HELD VIA AUDIO/VIDEO CONFERENCING
ONLY**

SOMB Members

Allison Boyd
Angel Weant
Carl Blake
Christina Ortiz-Marquez
Glenn Knipscheer
Gregg Kildow
Jeff Shay
Jessica Meza
Jesse Hansen
Kari Moore
Kathy Heffron
Katie Abeyta
Kimberly Kline
Lisa Mayer
Marcelo Kopcow
Michelle Simmons
Norma Aguilar-Dave
Rick May
Sharon Holbrook
Theresa Weiss (Future Board Member)
Steve Moreno
Taber Powers
Tom Lerversee

SOMB Guests

Alison Talley
Amira Minazzi
Angie Reynolds
Colton McNutt
Dale Jenkins
Delia Garcia
Gabriel Garcia
Genie Connaghan
Ingrid Barrier
Jason Talley
Jenna Harper
Jessica Dotter
Katie Mancinelli
Kellie Scherer
Kyle Jones
Lauren Rivas
Laurie Kepros
Lindsay Klatt
Martha Lugo
Matthew Smith
Michael Denhof
Michelle Neergaard
Mikaela Fatzinger
Patricia Harris
Rick Anglund
Rick Ostring
Robin Richards
Sara Horn
Sara Phelps
Sarah Marlow
Sera Bennet
Sheila Pomeranz
Stephen Scippio
Tami Floyd
Tanya Ahamed
Todd Hanenberg
Walter Simon

Absent SOMB Members: Robin Singer

Staff: Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky, Marina Borysov, Erin Austin, Raechel Alderete, Elliot Moen, Yuanting Zhang, Baylee Hodack, and Jill Trowbridge

SOMB Meeting Begins: 9:05 am

This meeting was recorded.

ORIENTATION TO THE MEETING:

Judge Marcelo Kopcow (SOMB Chair) introduced himself, and reviewed the meeting rules.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Program Manager) introduced himself and welcomed all in attendance.

Marina Borysov (SOMB Staff) introduced herself, reviewed the various aspects of this virtual meeting, and indicated how it will be conducted. She noted she will be the contact for technical support, and mentioned that she will monitor any questions or remarks in the chat and in the question and answer functions.

Marina Borysov (SOMB Staff) announced to the SOMB members that if they need to step out or come back into the meeting, to please let her know for record keeping purposes. She also reminded all that those who are not SOMB members should not vote.

Judge Kopcow (SOMB Chair) also indicated that those SOMB members making a motion on a decision item should do so verbally, so all in attendance can hear.

INTRODUCTIONS/ATTENDANCE:

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) announced the SOMB members in attendance. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky introduced Kari Moore as the new District Attorney representative on the SOMB who is replacing Amanda Gall. Kari Moore (SOMB Member) indicated that she was previously on the SOMB in 2009, and she reviewed her background and the circumstances surrounding her appointment to the SOMB.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) introduced Theresa Weiss who will replace Tom Leverage (SOMB Member) as the new Juvenile Treatment Provider representative upon Tom Leverage's retirement from the SOMB. Theresa Weiss (New SOMB Member) reviewed her background in the private practitioner field, and expressed her desire to be a part of the SOMB.

Raechel Alderete (SOMB Staff) announced the staff members in attendance.

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) announced the guests in attendance.

FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS:

SOMB Members:

Kathy Heffron (SOMB Member) asked for a future agenda item regarding increased inclusivity and diversity that discusses anti-discrimination, anti-racism work, and education on how the SOMB can reach all marginalized populations. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) responded that the Executive Committee has received feedback, and noted they are determining what the next steps would be. He indicated that a Steering Committee may be created, which would be comprised of SOMB members and stakeholders, and asked for volunteers to participate in the Steering Committee. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky asked the SOMB members how they wanted to proceed, and he asked for help with brainstorming and leadership of this committee. He noted that planning is needed before proceeding with this initiative. Kathy Heffron expressed her support and desire to be a part of this committee. Norma Aguilar-Dave, Katie Abeyta, Angel Weant, Michelle Simmons, and Taber Powers (SOMB Members) also indicated they would like to be a part of this Steering Committee. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky thanked all who volunteered.

Judge Marcelo Kopcow (SOMB Chair) announced that those SOMB members who are interested in joining this committee should reach out to Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky or the Executive Committee. Jesse Hansen (SOMB

Member) indicated that the Domestic Violence Offender Management Board (DVOMB) is creating a charter for Equity, Diversity, Inclusivity (EDI) work, and noted that the SOMB can collaborate with the DVOMB to come up with a charter or plan of their own.

Audience:

None

ANNOUNCEMENTS:

Staff:

Marina Borysov (SOMB Staff) announced:

- The Office of Domestic Violence and Sex Offender Management (ODVSOM) Annual Conference will be held virtually on July 14th – July 16th, 2021. She indicated that the Call for Papers deadline has been extended through the end of day on February 24th. Marina Borysov mentioned that the Training Committee will review all proposals submitted.
- Marina Borysov announced that the Call for Nominations for awards (see below) given annually at the ODVSOM Conference will be posted in two weeks, and indicated the nominations are due back to the SOMB by the first week of June. She asked all to email her with any questions.
 - Denise Fransua Domestic Violence Offender Management Leadership Award
 - Senator Norma Anderson Excellence in Victim Advocacy Award
 - Gary Bergen Sex Offender Management or Treatment Leadership Award
- Marina Borysov noted that all video recordings for the Adult Standards (except Section 4) are now completed, and she indicated they can be accessed on the SOMB website in the Training & Technical Assistance section.
- Marina Borysov announced that Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) hosts Technical Assistance webinars on Mondays for any stakeholders interested to help solve technical issues.

Raechel Alderete (SOMB Staff) indicated that the Best Practices Committee is looking for a juvenile treatment provider. She announced that the Porchlight Family Justice Center is opening on February 24th or 25th which provides a number of key government support services for victims and survivors of domestic violence, sexual assault, child abuse, at risk adult abuse, elder abuse, and human trafficking to receive help.

Elliot Moen (SOMB Staff) announced that the SOMB Annual Legislative Report is completed and is available on the SOMB website. He indicated that this report will be presented in more detail at next month's SOMB meeting. He briefly highlighted the following sections of the report:

- Section 1 - Data Collection analysis
- Section 2 - Recommendations regarding SVP designation and Juvenile Registration
- Section 2 - The summary of the responses of the SOMB to the audit recommendations and completion
- Section 3 - Policy updates and training done throughout 2020

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) indicated that the Appendix G White Paper regarding contact with own child is outdated, noting that it conflicts with Section 5. She mentioned that due to this that it has been removed from the Standards. Erin indicated that the appendices designations have shifted due to this deletion, and noted that this White Paper regarding contact with own child will still be available on the website.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) updated all regarding the Legislative hearing presentation of the Annual Legislative report on February 18, 2021. He thanked and acknowledged the Board members who presented and testified on behalf of the SOMB. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky thanked Kim Kline (SOMB Vice-Chair) for her ability in representing the Board, and thanked Carl Blake, Allison Boyd, and Katie Abeyta (SOMB Members) for their

testimonies. He encouraged all SOMB members to listen to the recording of this hearing (online at the General Assembly website,) and noted it is helpful to hear stakeholder and Joint Judiciary feedback. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky noted that the new Department of Public Safety Legislative Liaison is Joel Malecka, and indicated that Mr. Malecka can be reached for further information regarding Legislative information. Kim Kline (SOMB Member) noted that no treatment providers testified at the hearing, and encouraged them to come and provide testimony at the next hearing. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky indicated that sign up for these hearings can be made online, and mentioned that presenters will have approximately three minutes to state their testimony. Allison Boyd (SOMB Member) also encouraged treatment providers to testify in order to clarify the actualities in the field, so that the Legislators do not rely solely on anecdotal information.

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) thanked all who participated in the Legislative hearing, and clarified the mis-information presented at the hearing as follows:

- One individual testified that he had taken 15 polygraphs with six different examiners due to requirements in the Standards which mandate those be given even though his treatment team did not recommend them or believe they were needed.
 - Carl Blake responded that Standard 6.012 F. gives the team the ability to waive the requirement for a fully resolved sex history polygraph. He also mentioned that Standard 6.013 gives the team the ability to adjust the use of maintenance and monitoring polygraphs. Carl Blake also noted that the Standards allow for a variance process which could be used to alter the frequency and use of polygraphs when needed. Carl Blake mentioned that the Standards clearly outline a pathway to adjust polygraph use and would not be a barrier to the team if in fact the team did not believe the polygraph was needed.
 - Carl Blake noted that there are also mechanisms in the Juvenile Standards to adjust the use of polygraph when applicable.
- Another statement made at the Joint Judiciary hearing indicated that the Application Review Committee (ARC) did not properly vet the qualifications of providers in 72% of the provider files over the audit findings.
 - Carl Blake responded that the statement is out of context and misleading, and indicated the auditors only reviewed 18 provider files.
 - He noted that the cases the auditors looked at actually equates to 0.04% of the 433 approved providers. Carl Blake indicated that there was concern with 72% of the overall 0.04% provider documentation and verification.
 - He indicated that there were issues with the verification of the documentation submitted, and not necessarily with the providers' ability to practice their profession.
 - For example, he clarified that the ARC neglected to print out the DORA verification, and noted that they did not document that verification was made.
 - He indicated that some of the qualifying items were not documented in a clear way, and noted that the ARC has now corrected the process going forward.
 - He mentioned that the conclusion that the vetting did not meet the qualifications was inaccurate in that verification was made by the ARC, but noted that verification was not documented properly.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) asked SOMB members if they have not done so, to please complete the conflict of interest financial disclosure statement, and to verbally indicate if they have a financial conflict. There were no new disclosures made.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) gave a presentation of the service and perspective that Tom Leversee (SOMB Member) has had on the SOMB during his tenure on the Board. He thanked Tom Leversee for his work. Raechel Alderete (SOMB Staff) thanked Tom Leversee for his work, and noted her appreciation for being able

to work with him for the benefit of Colorado and the country. She also expressed her hope that he enjoys his time in retirement. Judge Marcelo Kopcow (SOMB Chair) indicated how much he has learned from Tom Lerversee, and mentioned how much he appreciates his input, along with his balance, patience, objectivity, and wisdom on this Board.

There were continued expressions of appreciation from various SOMB members and those in the audience as follows:

- Lisa Mayer (SOMB Member) noted her appreciation for Tom Lerversee’s work on this Board and his willingness to take time to help mentor and make new members welcome.
- Jesse Hansen (SOMB Member) echoed all, and noted that he has helped the SOMB grow from a board perspective and a staff perspective, and indicated how he has influenced the Juvenile and Adult Standards and Guidelines, both in Colorado and nationally as well.
- Angel Weant (SOMB Member) expressed her appreciation that he shows how to champion the work, his knowledge and commitment to sharing what he knows, and his encouragement of others to educate themselves. She noted how honored she was to be able to present at the Association for the Treatment of Sexual Abusers (ATSA) conference with him, and indicated he has been a great mentor to many. Angel Weant mentioned that he will be truly missed.
- Allison Boyd (SOMB Member) recalled the time when she and Tom Lerversee worked together at Lookout Mountain Youth Services Center, and expressed appreciation for his great concern for victims and their needs.
- Marina Borysov (SOMB Staff) read additional comments from the chat box from the following attendees:
 - Tod Hanenberg (Audience Member)
 - Norma Aguilar-Dave (SOMB Member)
 - Jessica Meza (SOMB Member)

Tom Lerversee (SOMB Member) responded to all the accolades with tears of joy and appreciation. He noted how meaningful the work of the SOMB has been for him and his career in the difficult context in which it operates. Tom Lerversee indicated that the most meaningful memories to him are the relationships, collaboration, and the sense of community. He mentioned that he intends to continue with these relationships, and urged the SOMB to keep their eyes on the prize regarding evidence-based practices.

Board:

Jessica Meza (SOMB Member) noted that February is Black History Month, and indicated that there are a number of activities available. She asked if the Board has included the experiences of African-American men and women in the work of the SOMB. Jessica Meza noted that the Guidelines and Standards negatively impact the people of color, and expressed the need to always recognize those when creating or revising the Standards and Guidelines. She went on to discuss that there is a disproportionate amount of black who men have been incarcerated in error, and stressed that change needs to happen.

Jesse Hansen (SOMB Member) noted that February is also Teen Dating Violence Awareness month, and indicated he will put links to resources in the chat box. He also mentioned that there are two vacant victim advocate positions on the DVOMB. Jesse Hansen noted that any interested parties for either of these positions should contact him, and he indicated he will also put the link to the application in the chat box.

Audience:

None

APPROVAL OF NOVEMBER MINUTES – (Attachment #1)

Norma Aguilar-Dave (SOMB Member) moved to approve the January Minutes as amended. Lisa Mayer (SOMB Member) 2nd the motion.

Gregg Kildow indicated a change to his name on page seven of the January minutes. Jill Trowbridge (SOMB Staff) will make this change.

Elliot Moen reminded the audience not to participate in the vote, and asked the SOMB members to click “submit” to ensure their vote is recorded.

Motion to approve the January Minutes as amended: Norma Aguilar-Dave; Lisa Mayer 2nd (Question #1)

17	Approve	0	Oppose	3	Abstain	Motion Passes
-----------	----------------	----------	---------------	----------	----------------	----------------------

APPROVAL OF AGENDA:

The Agenda was then approved by consensus.

LIFETIME SUPERVISION REPORT (Presentation) – (Attachment #2) – Elliot Moen, DCJ; Angel Weant, Judicial; and Christina Ortiz-Marquez, DOC

Elliot Moen (SOMB Staff) reviewed the process of the Lifetime Supervision Report presentation which included the following topics:

- Purpose and Scope of the Report by each agency
- Summary
- Time for Questions

The highlights of this presentation are as follows (handout provided):

- Department of Corrections (DOC) – Christina Ortiz-Marquez and Michael Denhoff
 - Impact on Prison and Parole Population
 - FY 2020 Lifetime supervision population
 - FY 2020 admissions and discharges
 - FY 2020 Parole Hearings
 - FY 2020 Revocation Hearings
 - FY 2020 Treatment Status of Lifetime Supervision Sex Offenders
 - FY 2020 Cost of Services
- Judicial – Angel Weant
 - Probation Population Impact
 - FY 20 Mandatory Lifetime Supervision
 - FY 20 New Cases Sentenced to Probation
 - Probation Discharges and Revocations
 - FY 20 Probations under active SOISP
 - FY 20 Transfer to regular Probation
 - FY 20 Probation terminations
 - FY 20 Cost of Services
 - Evaluations
 - Treatment
 - Total expenditures to treatment and evaluation
 - Polygraph Testing

- Department of Public Safety
 - Number of Location of Service Providers (Adult only)
 - SOMB Approved Provider Totals
 - Number and Location of Providers
 - FY 20 Map of Treatment Provider Availability
 - FY 20 Map of Evaluator Availability
 - FY 20 Map of Polygraph Examiner Availability
 - FY 20 Statewide Average Cost of Services
 - Group Treatment Session = \$60.00
 - Individual or Adjunct Treatment Session = \$81.00
 - Sex Offense Specific Evaluation = \$1,108.00
 - Polygraph Examination = \$250.00

Board Discussion:

Jessica Meza (SOMB Member) asked Christina Ortiz-Marquez (DOC) what are the percentage of those of color under DOC Lifetime Supervision. Christina Ortiz-Marquez and Michael Denhoff (DOC) responded that of the 2653 under lifetime supervision, 98% were male with a median age of 46.6 years. She indicated that of those, 55.7% were Caucasian, 27.1% were Hispanic, 13.3% were African-American, and 3.9% were other ethnicities. Jessica Meza also asked Christina Ortiz-Marquez if she has data that indicates how these offenders identify by gender. Christina Ortiz-Marquez responded that she will get back to Jessica Meza with that data.

Jessica Meza (SOMB Member) asked Angel Weant (Judicial) if the contracts and costs are spread across agencies throughout the state of the \$2,401,772 in expenditures for treatment and evaluations. Angel Weant explained the process for bidding on this work which includes an open solicitation period when proposals are submitted, the proposals are then reviewed by the committee, and the contracts are then awarded in each judicial district. She noted that each judicial district has their own budget and manage their expenditures. Angel Weant mentioned that the central Probation Office also tracks and oversees the expenditures for each district. Jessica Meza asked if any particular agencies are getting the bulk of these contracts due to power dynamics, and noted that some treatment agencies feel they are being overlooked due to discrimination or power dynamics. She asked for this topic to be discussed as a future agenda item. Angel Weant clarified the State contractual process, and then indicated that each Judicial District decides the client placement into a treatment agency based on the risk-need-responsivity of each client (appropriate treatment matching). She indicated that each client is offered two treatment agency choices, and noted that she will discuss this process with Jessica Meza offline further, if needed.

Allison Boyd (SOMB Member) indicated that according to her information, there is no overrepresentation of African American males who are sex offenders. She asked Christina Ortiz-Marquez (DOC) what the percentage of the sex offender prison population is who were previously on community supervision.

Gregg Kildow (SOMB Member) asked Elliot Moen how many agencies are represented of the 315 treatment providers listed. Elliot Moen responded that the total number of agencies providing services equals 182 (adult treatment providers, adult evaluators, adult polygraph examiners). Gregg Kildow asked for clarification of how many providers are actually providing treatment in the rural areas. Elliot Moen responded that the numbers on the map are those who provide services in a particular county, which could be on a limited basis, but may not necessarily be their primary county of service. Gregg Kildow also asked if data is collected that indicates how many clients there are per agency, per geographic area. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) indicated that case data is available, but not geographic data. Gregg Kildow asked to have this data, as he questioned the service availability in rural counties.

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) asked Christina Ortiz-Marquez for clarification of the DOC's description of completions by track and those who complete treatment or are discharged from treatment (successfully or unsuccessfully.) Christina Ortiz-Marquez responded that in the DOC, successful completions are those who met the seven lifetime criteria, and noted that they do not discharge from sex offense treatment. She indicated that those individuals remain in maintenance treatment until released from prison. Christina Ortiz-Marquez indicated that discharges are those who have a release date and are released to Parole. Carl Blake asked how those that are being discharged from treatment are being recorded in the data management system. Christina Ortiz-Marquez responded that the client would be a successful completion if they completed all sex offense treatment while in the DOC. She indicated that if they have not successfully completed treatment within the DOC, but will continue treatment while on Parole, then they would be entered as a transfer in the data management system. Carl Blake noted there are differences in terminology between the DOC and the private sector when reporting information in the data management system, and indicated the need to take these under consideration when analyzing data.

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) indicated the need for the SOMB to plan a future discussion or education on how service contracts are procured, the willingness of the providers to be under the scrutiny of provider review, and the willingness of providers to work under the criteria of the State.

Jesse Hansen (SOMB Member) asked Angel Weant (Judicial) if she had data regarding those placed on sex offender lifetime or non-lifetime supervision with the domestic violence enhancer attached. He also asked if those probationers receive evaluation from evaluators with dual credentials (one for the sex offense and one for the domestic violence), and what treatment they are referred to. Angel Weant responded that she does not specifically know the referral numbers by risk factor, but noted they are referred to agencies that treat all the client's risk factors.

Audience Discussion:

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) noted that the questions or comments that came through the chat box will be answered at a later date.

BREAK: 11:05 – 11:15

SOMB ANNUAL BOARD TRAINING PER BY 18-1198 (Presentation/Training) – (No Attachment) – Ingrid Barrier, Attorney General's Office

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) introduced Ingrid Barrier who is the Attorney General's Office Representative for the Department of Public Safety.

Ingrid Barrier (Attorney General's Office) noted that this training is the annual mandatory Board training. The highlights of this presentation are as follows:

Purpose of the Annual Training:

- Governing Law and Policy
- SOMB Duties - Mission of board or commission
- SOMB Membership
- Miscellaneous
- Other Best Practices
- Board and Commission Conduct
- Conflicts of Interest – By-Laws
- Additional Affirmation for SOMB
- SOMB Conflict of Interest Policy

- Dealing with Conflicts of Interest
- Colorado's Open Meetings Law or Sunshine Law
- What Constitutes a Meeting
- What Does the Open Meetings Law Require
- Exceptions for Executive Session
- Attorney Advice in Executive Session
- Guy v. Whitsitt – 19CA125
- Executive Session Recordings and Discovery
- Colorado Open Records Act (CORA)
- CORA Requests to the SOMB
- Keep your email clean
- Checklist for Ethical Behavior

Board Discussion:

Jessica Meza (SOMB Member) indicated that presenters are fearful to present to the SOMB due to possible retaliation by the SOMB (e.g., those on sex offender probation.) She asked if there is guidance regarding how to calm those fears. Ingrid Barrier responded that this Board is very open to public comment, and indicated that the SOMB responds to that comment in a professional way. She indicated that there are no statutes that require a board member to call a client's probation or parole officer regarding things said in a board meeting. Ingrid Barrier mentioned that if there are specific concerns, that those should be brought to Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky or the SOMB chair to determine if legal consultation is needed. Jessica Meza described a hypothetical situation where a client contested a specific treatment standard and a board member contacted his/her supervision team regarding the complaint. She indicated that there are no whistleblower protections. Ingrid Barrier responded that being on probation or parole does not hinder the ability to speak out against the SOMB, and noted that the Board is to respond in a professional way without fear of retribution. Jessica Meza asked that these situations be discussed at a future time to discuss when there is a disagreement in policy, and the possible punishment for speaking out.

Carl Blake (SOMB Member) commented that the by-laws specifically include conduct which clarifies that other board members and those of the public are treated with dignity and respect. Carl Blake asked Ingrid Barrier if a vote is needed to come out of Executive Session. He noted that general consensus is needed to come out of Executive Session and that no vote is needed. Ingrid Barrier confirmed that is the correct process when leaving Executive Session. Carl Blake questioned if a committee is "drafting" guiding principles, etc., if these can be reviewed by various committee members through email before going to a committee for vote. Ingrid Barrier responded to give drafting to staff, and she indicated that staff will get it to the committee who will add it to the next agenda for review. She encouraged all to avoid using email for meaningful discussion regarding policy.

Audience Discussion:

None

BREAK: 12:15 – 12:45

FEEDBACK INFORMED THERAPY (Panel Presentation) – (Attachment #3) – Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky, DCJ; Kim Kline, SOMB Member and Approved Provider at THE; Sarah Marlow, Approved Provider at RSA; Alison Talley, DOC, and Walt Simon, Approved Provider at PTS

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) framed the purpose for this training, and the process used to incorporate the various presenters of this discussion. He noted that this presentation will include a review of

Feedback Informed Therapy (FIT), the sharing of specific Colorado cases, and a time of general questions and discussion.

Each presenter introduced themselves and their area of expertise.

Feedback Informed Treatment (FIT) review:

- FIT incorporates formal feedback regarding progress and engagement in treatment
- FIT is an evidence-based practice per SAMHSA in 2013
- FIT is not mandatory in the SOMB Standards; it is a treatment tool
- FIT is successfully integrated into both mental health and substance abuse services
- FIT serves voluntary and court mandated clients
- FIT is being used in agencies and systems of care

FIT Research:

- FIT research from randomized controlled trials (RCTs) show FIT doubles effectiveness of treatment
- FIT reduces attrition by 50%
- FIT reduces deterioration in treatment by 33%

How to use FIT:

- Client uses 2 self-report instruments
- Outcome rating scale (ORS)
 - Completed at the beginning of session
 - Mark level of functioning individually, interpersonally, socially, and overall well being
- Session Rating Scale
 - Completed at the end of the session
 - Mark level of therapeutic alliance in terms of relationship, goals and tasks, approach and method, and overall

Considerations for the use of FIT:

- Incorporate into treatment process without fear of retribution and with hope of impacting nature and quality of services delivered
- Even problems can be productive in the therapeutic context and improvement over time increases efficacy

There was a time of sharing case examples from the treatment panel members:

- How FIT was used in their agencies
- What were the outcomes
- How feedback impacted treatment programs

Board Discussion:

Tom Leversee (SOMB Member) asked for a link to the Duncan and Miller FIT scales. Alison Talley (Panelist) emailed the information to Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) for dissemination.

Tom Leversee (SOMB Member) asked Walt Simon what the feedback the therapists received that changed their approach or practice. Walt Simon (Panelist) responded that he was not aware of much negative feedback, and he indicated that therapists receive feedback from various sources. Walt Simon noted the need to work through feedback received, that clients do not always like their therapists, and that the therapists should not be defensive

when negative client feedback is received. Alison Talley (Panelist) responded that she has received great feedback in how to improve her attending skills and how the client is being listened to.

Jessica Meza (SOMB Member) noted that her clients have faced sexual violence in prison that therapists do not want to talk about those instances, and do not include these in their treatment. She asked how the therapists address and engage the clients in this treatment.

Alison Talley (Panelist) responded that the Prison Rape and Elimination Act (PREA) is a very important topic. She noted that the therapists do not talk about those instances in a group setting due to safety concerns. Alison Talley indicated that there are more individualized treatments and structured ways to address those circumstances when they happen. She mentioned that there are evaluations used to determine if an offender has had that happen, and sexual violence is talked about in regular sex offense therapy. Alison Talley also noted that there are some that never talk about it while being incarcerated.

Jesse Hansen (SOMB Member) commented that the responsivity piece can be difficult in the therapeutic alliance evaluation training from a risk-needs-responsivity perspective. He indicated that FIT helps with the responsivity piece. Jesse Hansen asked if client feedback has been helpful for clinical supervisors. Kim Kline (Panelist) responded yes, and noted that it is a constant supervision topic. Sarah Marlow (Panelist) also responded yes, and indicated that client feedback is constantly being discussed and used to assist in supervision and team meetings.

Walt Simon responded to Jessica Meza that therapists may be uncomfortable in trying to address prison sexual violence, and noted that sometimes patients can help the therapists with their own trauma. Kim Kline (Panelist) noted that trauma victims cause trauma in others, and indicated the need to address trauma in sex offense treatment.

Audience Discussion:

None

AUDIT COMPLIANCE PLANNING (Action Item) - (No Attachment) – Marina Borysov, DCJ; Susan Redmond, CDPS; and Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky, DCJ

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) noted that Marina Borysov is in charge of the dashboard showing the completion of the audit recommendations.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) noted that the paperwork and structural recommendations have been implemented or will be implemented in a timely manner for the audit recommendations. He suggested providers use the new online Supervision Agreement forms that have been created.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) noted that the SOMB staff continues to work on the research citations, and he indicated that the citations have been used for statements of fact in the past. He mentioned that the SOMB staff are re-reviewing the research previously reviewed by the Board and its committees, are indicating if the research covers various sections of the Standards, and that they will notate those areas (with research and those without research). Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky indicated that the literature will be made available in bibliographies in the electronic document repository. He mentioned that this project is striving for completion by June 2021.

Erin Austin (SOMB Staff) noted that the new online Applications are available on the SOMB website along with the new Supervision Agreements, and the Statement of Understanding. She reiterated for all to replace their old

forms with the new ones going forward. Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) reviewed the changes made to the Supervision Agreement, and urged all to use these new applications and the revised agreements.

Chris Lobanov-Rostovsky (SOMB Staff) noted that the Board and staff have been working very diligently on all the audit recommendations.

Board Discussion:

None

Audience Discussion:

None

Adjourn: 1:51 pm

Respectfully,

Jill Trowbridge
Program Assistant

Date



Kimberly Klihe
Chair of the SOMB

4/9/21

Date

Event Start Date	Event Start Time	FirstName	LastName	Join Time	Leave Time	Motion to Approve the January Minutes(10:14 am / 10:15 am)
February 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Carl	Blake	8:42 am Denver Time	1:52 pm Denver Time	Yes
February 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Marcelo	Kopcow	8:40 am Denver Time	1:51 pm Denver Time	Yes
February 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Jeff	Shay	8:46 am Denver Time	1:52 pm Denver Time	Yes
February 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Allison	Boyd	8:56 am Denver Time	1:52 pm Denver Time	Yes
February 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Jesse	Hansen	9:00 am Denver Time	1:52 pm Denver Time	Abstain
February 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Theresa	Weiss	8:59 am Denver Time	1:52 pm Denver Time	N/A
February 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Taber	Powers	8:44 am Denver Time	1:51 pm Denver Time	Yes
February 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Christina	Marquez	8:55 am Denver Time	12:16 pm Denver Time	Yes
February 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Tom	Leversee	8:58 am Denver Time	1:52 pm Denver Time	Yes
February 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Sharon	Holbrook	8:42 am Denver Time	1:52 pm Denver Time	Yes
February 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Michelle	Simmons	8:55 am Denver Time	1:51 pm Denver Time	Yes
February 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Jessica	Meza	9:00 am Denver Time	1:52 pm Denver Time	Yes
February 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Katie	Abeyta	8:58 am Denver Time	1:52 pm Denver Time	Yes
February 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Glenn	Knipscheer	8:57 am Denver Time	1:51 pm Denver Time	Yes
February 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Kimberly	Kline	9:04 am Denver Time	1:52 pm Denver Time	Yes
February 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Norma	Aguilar-Dave	9:01 am Denver Time	10:29 am Denver Time	Yes
February 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Lisa	Mayer	8:49 am Denver Time	1:51 pm Denver Time	Yes
February 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Kari	Moore	9:00 am Denver Time	1:52 pm Denver Time	Abstain
February 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Gregg	Kildow	8:42 am Denver Time	1:51 pm Denver Time	Yes
February 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Angel	Weant	8:56 am Denver Time	1:51 pm Denver Time	Yes
February 19, 2021 Denver Time	9:00 am Denver Time	Rick	May	9:02 am Denver Time	1:46 pm Denver Time	Abstain
<i>Kathy Heffron left at 9:58 am</i>						
<i>Steve Moreno left at 9:58 am</i>						
<i>Glenn Knipscheer left at 10:34 am</i>						
<i>Kathy Heffron returned at 10:59 am</i>						
<i>Steve Moreno returned at 11:50 am</i>						
<i>Kathy Heffron left at 1:30 pm</i>						
<i>Kathy Heffron returned at 1:45 pm</i>						